



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,421	02/28/2002	Yasushi Ishii	FS.F5520US1CP	8152
20995 7:	590 08/13/2003			
	ARTENS OLSON &	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTI	'H FLOOR		SWINEHAR	Г, EDWIN L
IRVINE, CA	92014	. •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/} -			
t	•	10/090,421	ISHII, YASUSHI	H			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	1/2			
		Ed Swinehart	3617	//			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			++			
Period fo	• •		J	\			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property of the property of the property of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the reply alter the replacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, main. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notatute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	,—	This action is non-final.	mottors, proposition as to the mosts:	in			
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur			15			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>24-35</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5-10 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 11-15</u> is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
• •	ion Papers						
• —	The specification is objected to by the Example 1997		o. Ma Evenina				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
111	Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.				
ייוו	If approved, corrected drawings are required		alsapproved by the Examiner.				
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	· •					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
•	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	roigh phoney andor oo o.c.	0.3.1.0(4) (4) 0. (4)				
a)	_	ments have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
* ;	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).			
	a) The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do						
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.0	Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/090,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,5-7,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama et al. '180.
 - '180 discloses the claimed invention, including a support member (spacer plate) including third and fourth passage sections **116** and **121**. A detachable C-shaped exhaust unit houses a catalyst, and also communicates with the third and fourth passages.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. '180.

'180 fails to disclose a plurality of catalyst, however, the mere duplication of existing structure is not considered to provide a patentable distinction in the art.

Art Unit: 3617

Such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

6. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. '180 in view of Kaneko et al.

Nakayama et al. '180 fails to specifically mention a plurality of catalyst as claimed.

Kaneko et al., teaches serially arranged catalyst for treating different pollutants.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide serially arranged catalysts to Nakayama et al. '180 as taught by Kaneko et al.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide for cleaner exhaust gas.

7. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. '180 in view of Woodward.

Nakayama et al. '180 fails to disclose a water jacket as claimed.

Woodward teaches a water jacket surrounding the catalyst of an outboard motor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a water jacket to Nakayama et al. '180 as taught by Woodward.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide for assurance that the catalyst is not damaged from heat, and catalyst heat does not excessively heat the engine compartment.

8. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. '180 in view of Motose et al.

Page 4

Nakayama et al. '180 fails to disclose sensors as claimed.

Motose et al. teaches an air/fuel sensor positioned as claimed.

9. Claims 19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. '180 in view of Fujimoto '610.

Nakayama et al. '180 fails to disclose an EGT sensor.

Fujimoto teaches the use of an EGT sensor positioned as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Nakayama et al. '180 with an EGT sensor to aide in engine control as taught by Fujimoto.

The orientation of the sensor is considered to have been an obvious design consideration, obvious to the ordinary routineer working in the art providing no unexpected results.

- 10. Claims 2-4 and 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 24-35 are allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 703-308-2566. The examiner works a flexible work schedule, and can normally be reached Mondays to Fridays before 2:00 P.M. EST.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,421 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Ed Swinehart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

August 7, 2003